

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/755,383	01/13/2004	Sio Kuan Lam	20229.0009	9958
23517	7590 04/13/2005		EXAMINER	
SWIDLER BERLIN LLP			DOAN, JENNIFER	
3000 K STREET, NW BOX IP			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/755,383	LAM ET AL.	ROL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- Clivo			
	Jennifer Doan	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			Iress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	anuary 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	D) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	.152)			

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings, filed on 01/13/2004, are accepted.

Specification

2. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of

Application/Control Number: 10/755,383

Art Unit: 2874

35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-9 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihiro et al. (JP 07-270642) in view of Brauss (U.S. Patent 3,628,027).

With respect to claims 1-4, 8 and 12-19, Yoshihiro et al. (figure 1) disclose an optical assembly for coupling an optical device to an optical receiver, comprising a lens (7) comprising a reflecting surface (8) for bending and confining a light beam and a focusing curved surface for focusing the light beam, the lens conveying the light beam between the optical device and the optical receiver by reflection off of the reflecting curved surface and transmission through the focusing curved surface; wherein the reflecting surface and the focusing spherical surface are part of an integrated lens; wherein the reflecting curved surface controls the divergence angle of the reflected beam before being focused by the focusing curved surface (see figure 1); wherein the reflective portion of at least one of the mating surfaces includes a coating to create reflection of the beam; wherein the optical device is a light device (3) and the optical receiver is an optical fiber (1) for sending out the light; further the optical device is an optical fiber (6) and the optical receiver is a light receiving device (3); wherein the curved focusing surface is a spherical surface; further wherein the reflecting surface internally reflects the beam into the material that comprises the lens; wherein the curved reflecting surface externally reflects the beam off of the material that comprises the lens; wherein the reflecting surface bends the light

Application/Control Number: 10/755,383

Art Unit: 2874

beam 90 degree (see figure 1); and further wherein the reflecting surface collimates the light beam after the reflection (specification).

Yoshihiro et al. do not disclose the reflective surface is curved and parabolic.

However, Brauss (figure 1 and column 1, lines 34-36) discloses the beam reflecting and focusing system including a lens having a reflective curved-parabolic surface (13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the lens system of Yoshihiro to include the reflective surface which is curved and parabolic (accordance with the teaching of Brauss) for the purpose of obtaining the most effective light reflection.

With respect to claims 4 and 9, Yoshihiro et al. substantially disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention, except Yoshihiro et al. do not disclose a monitoring unit.

However, Brauss (figure 1 and column 1, lines 44-46) disclose a monitoring unit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the lens system of Yoshihiro to include the monitoring unit (accordance with the teaching of Brauss) for the purpose of monitoring and controlling the system to ensure a reliable functioning of the system.

Art Unit: 2874

With respect to claims 5-7, Yoshihiro et al. substantially disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention, except Yoshihiro et al. do not disclose the lens assembly having at least two mating parts.

However, Brauss (figure 1, abstract and column 2, lines 69-71) disclose the lens assembly having at least two mating parts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the lens system of Yoshihiro to include two mating parts (accordance with the teaching of Brauss) for the purpose protecting against the external effects and ensuring a reliable function of the system.

6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihiro et al. and Brauss, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Michikoshi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,388,171).

With respect to claims 10 and 11, the combination of Yoshihiro et al. and Brauss substantially disclose the invention as claimed.

Neither Yoshihiro et al. nor Brauss disclose a translucent block positioned between the lens and the end of the fiber. However, Michikoshi et al. (figure 1) clearly disclose a translucent block positioned between the lens (2) and the end of the fiber (3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device structure of the above combination by forming the translucent block between the lens and the fiber end (accordance with the teaching of Michikoshi et al.) for the purpose of alleviating the reflection noise.

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:00 am to 3:30 pm, second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Doan Patent Examiner

Tennife Doan

March 25, 2005